UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION

MARKET TAMPA INVESTMENTS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No: 2:21-cy-37-SPC-NPM

DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, as Trustee for Novastar Mortgage Funding Trust, Series 2007-1 Novastar Home Equity Loan Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2007-1,

Defendant.

OPINION AND ORDER¹

Before the Court is Plaintiff Market Tampa Investments, LLC's ("MTI")

Motion to Strike Defendant's Portion of the Joint Notice of Related Cases.

(Doc. 28).

Under Local Rule 1.07(c), "lead counsel has a continuing duty to notify the judge of a related action pending in the Middle District or elsewhere. The lead counsel promptly must file a 'Notice of a Related Action' that identifies

¹ Disclaimer: Documents hyperlinked to CM/ECF are subject to PACER fees. By using hyperlinks, the Court does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide, nor does it have any agreements with them. The Court is also not responsible for a hyperlink's availability and functionality, and a failed hyperlink does not affect this Order.

and describes the related action." M.D. Fla. LR 1.07(c).² MTI argues Deutsche Bank National Trust Company ("DBNTC") is improperly using Local Rule 1.07(c) to "inflame this Court's passions." (Doc. 28 at 1, 3). MTI's attorney, Lee Segal,³ asserts the cases found in the Joint Notice of a Related Action (Doc. 24) ("Notice") have nothing to do with this case.

MTI's own filing torpedoes this argument. The Court has received the exact same motion across four cases, all of which are listed on the Notice—2:21-cv-9-SPC-NPM (Doc. 29); 2:21-cv-37-SPC-NPM (Doc. 28); 2:21-cv-40-SPC-NPM (Doc. 25); and 2:21-cv-42-SPC-NPM (Doc. 29). MTI asserts "Defendant's counsel filed the Notice as a 'Joint Notice,' as required by the local rules. However, the Notice is not actually 'joint' in that Plaintiff and Defendant take diametrically opposed positions within it." (Doc. 28 at 4). The Notice plainly shows that MTI and DBNTC take different views, with MTI asserting there are no related cases and DBNTC asserting there are over 50 related cases. The Notice is clear in that only DBNTC asserts there are related cases. Local Rule 1.07(c) does not require the parties agree on which cases are related, nor does it allow MTI to unilaterally dictate which cases DBNTC views as related to the instant proceeding. The Notice did not contravene Local Rule 1.07(c). See

² The Local Rules were updated effective February 1, 2021. Old Local Rule 1.04(d) imposed the same obligations.

³ Mr. Segal signed his filings in federal court as Lior Segal, but as Lee Segal in state court. Mr. Segal's Florida Bar registration information lists his name as Lee Segal, as does his admission to the Middle District of Florida.

Marrero Enters. of Palm Beach, Inc. v. Estefan Enters., Inc., 2007 WL 2965077,

at 81 (S.D. Fla. Oct. 9, 2007) (striking notice of pendency of related proceeding

for "contain[ing] extensive information . . . that far exceeds the narrow scope

of Local Rule 3.8" that amounted to making legal arguments).

The only other authority the Court can fathom supporting MTI's motion

is Rule 12(f), which allows for striking of pleadings. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f). But

a notice of related actions is not a pleading and provides no remedy. E.g.,

Whitney Info. Network, Inc. v. Weiss, 2008 WL 11334989, at *1-*2 (M.D. Fla.

Apr. 14, 2008). Rule 12(f) provides no support here.

Accordingly, it is now **ORDERED** that Plaintiff Market Tampa

Investments, LLC's Motion to Strike Defendant's Portion of the Joint Notice of

Related Cases (Doc. 28) is **DENIED**.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Fort Myers, Florida on March 8, 2021.

SHERI POLSTER CHAPPELL '

Copies: All Parties of Record

3